Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **000**, 1–9 () Printed 1 August 2016 (MN LATEX style file v2.2)

e-MERLIN 21cm constraints on the mass-loss rates of OB stars in Cyg OB2

J. C. Morford[1], D. M. Fenech[1], R. K. Prinja[1], R. Blomme[2], J. A. Yates[1]
[1]*Dept. of Physics & Astronomy, University College London, Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT*
[2]*Royal Observatory of Belgium, Ringlaan 3, 1180 Brussel, Belgium*

arXiv:1607.08828v1 [astro-ph.SR] 29 Jul 2016

ABSTRACT

We present e-MERLIN 21 cm (L-band) observations of single luminous OB stars in the Cygnus OB2 association, from the COBRaS Legacy programme. The radio observations potentially offer the most straightforward, least model-dependent, determinations of mass-loss rates, and can be used to help resolve current discrepancies in mass-loss rates via clumped and structured hot star winds. We report here that the 21 cm flux densities of O3 to O6 supergiant and giant stars are less than $\sim 70\,\mu$Jy. These fluxes may be translated to 'smooth' wind mass-loss upper limits of $\sim 4.4 - 4.8 \times 10^{-6}$ M$_\odot$ yr^{-1} for O3 supergiants and $\lesssim 2.9 \times 10^{-6}$ M$_\odot$ yr^{-1} for B0 to B1 supergiants. The first ever resolved 21 cm detections of the hypergiant (and LBV candidate) Cyg OB2 #12 are discussed; for multiple observations separated by 14 days, we detect a $\sim 69\%$ increase in its flux density. Our constraints on the upper limits for the mass-loss rates of evolved OB stars in Cyg OB2 support the model that the inner wind region close to the stellar surface (where Hα forms) is more clumped than the very extended geometric region sampled by our radio observations.

Key words: stars: early type – star: mass-loss – radio continuum: stars – galaxies: clusters: individual (Cygnus OB2)

1 INTRODUCTION

The Cyg OB2 Radio Survey (COBRaS) is an e-MERLIN Legacy Project to carry out a deep imaging radio survey of the central region of the Cygnus OB2 association (www.merlin.ac.uk/legacy/projects/cobras.html). The principal component of this project (252 hours) will be to map the core of Cyg OB2 at 5 GHz (C-band; 6 cm; 2 GHz full bandwidth), going to a depth of $\sim 3\,\mu$Jy (1-σ). Prior to these observations which are due in late 2016, additional pointings (42 hrs) at 1.4 GHz (L-band; 21cm; 512 MHz full bandwidth) have been secured during 2014. We report here on results from the supplementary L-band datasets, and specifically on the constraints they provide on the mass-loss rates of OB stars and the nature of their outer wind regions.

Cygnus X is one of the richest star formation regions in the Galaxy. It hosts several OB associations, numerous young open clusters, tens of compact HII regions and star formation regions, a supernova remnant, and a superbubble blown by the collected stellar winds of the massive stars (e.g. Knödlseder et al. 2004, Trapero et al. 1998). At the core of Cygnus X is the Cyg OB2 association, which with a total cluster mass estimated to be $\sim 3{\times}10^4$M$_\odot$, can be considered more as a massive cluster than an open OB association (Knödlseder 2000; Wright et al. 2010). The Cyg OB2 association is a uniquely important laboratory for studying the collective and individual properties of massive stars, and (possibly triggered) active star-formation. The stellar population of Cyg OB2 has been the focus of several studies across different wavebands (e.g. Massey & Thompson 1991; Herrero et al. 2001; Comerón et al. 2002; Setia Gunawan et al. 2003; Wright et al. 2014; Rauw et al. 2015). It has also been the target of radial velocity surveys (e.g. Kiminki et al. 2007; Kobulnicky et al. 2012). We lean here in particular on the recent census of Wright et al. (2015) who list 169 OB stars, including 52 O-type and 8 normal early B supergiant. With an estimated cluster age of ~ 2 Myr (Colombo et al. 2007), Cyg OB2 is not only very rich in stellar density but also in its diversity. The greater Cygnus X region includes Be stars, many Young Stellar Objects (YSOs), two known Wolf-Rayet stars (WR 145, WR 146), two candidate Luminous Blue Variable (LBV) stars (G79.29+0.46, Cyg OB2 #12), a red supergiant (IRC+40 427), a B[e] star (MWC 349), HII regions with groups of massive stars around them (DR 15, DR 18) and a gamma-ray source (TeV J2032+4130). Cyg OB2 is relatively close-by (at ~ 1.4 kpc), heavily obscured (as is the whole Cygnus X region), and located behind the Great Cygnus Rift. There is large and non-uniform visual extinction ranging from 4 to 10 mag (Knödlseder 2000), thus making the association ideally studied at radio wavelengths.

Second only to the initial stellar mass, the mass-loss rates

© RAS

of massive stars determine the final stellar mass, and thereby, the type of compact stellar remnant for all stars more massive than about 8 $M_{\odot}$. The amount of mass shed during main- and post-main-sequence evolution determines whether a star becomes a black hole or a neutron star and specifies the type of supernova or gamma-ray burst that it may produce. Knowledge of stellar mass-loss remains one of the most uncertain parameters in massive star evolution because of the unknown amount of clumping in the stellar winds. Results since the late 20th century have strongly challenged the canonical model of stellar-wind mass-loss in massive stars by emphasising uncertainties in small-scale clumping and large-scale structure in the outflows. In normal OB-type stars, small-scale clumps are optically thin in Hα and most likely also in radio emission leading to derived mass-loss rate ($\dot{M}$) diagnostics that have been found to disagree with one another by a factor of 2 to 10 (e.g. Drew 1990; Puls et al. 2006; Prinja & Massa 2010; Muijres et al. 2011). The observations indicate that the winds universally contain large structures and small-scale clumping that are only partially characterised observationally, with effects on mass-loss rates that have yet to be fully understood in conjunction with one another (see Sundqvist et al. 2014). There is thus a pivotal requirement to constrain wind clumping as a function of radial distance/velocity from the surface of the star and make comparisons to theoretical predictions in order to derive reliable mass-loss rates.

OB stars emit radio radiation through (thermal) free-free emission, due to electron-ion interactions in their ionised wind. The considerable advantage of using free-free radio fluxes for determining mass-loss for massive stars is that, unlike Hα and UV, the emission arises at large radii in the stellar wind, where the terminal velocity will have been reached. The interpretation of the radio fluxes is more straightforward therefore and is not strongly dependent on details of the velocity law, ionisation conditions[1], inner velocity field, or the photospheric profile. Furthermore, the greater geometric region and density squared dependence of the free-free flux makes the radio observations very sensitive to clumping in the wind. The radio measurements can be directly compared to other density-squared diagnostics such as Hα, which in turn permits constraints on the relative amount of wind clumping as a function of velocity (see e.g. Blomme et al. 2002; Puls et al. 2006).

We report here on first performance and science results from the COBRaS L-band (21 cm) Legacy data. We focus in this study on detection limits on thermal emission from suspected luminous single O and early B stars. The targets examined here are stars predicted to have the densest winds and highest mass-loss rates from inner-wind diagnostics such as Hα.

2 OBSERVATIONS AND IMAGE PROCESSING

The core e-MERLIN L-band COBRaS legacy observations presented here were made over a three day period from April 25th - 27th 2014 with additional observations taken on April 11th 2014. The central approximately 15 square arcminutes of Cygnus OB2 were observed using seven overlapping pointings. The point source J2007+404 was used to perform cycled phase calibration scans during the observations. Each Cyg OB2 pointing was observed for two phase-target cycle scans before moving onto the next pointing. This process was repeated for the duration of the campaign in order to provide a good hour-angle coverage and to maintain as similar a uv-coverage for all pointings as possible. In total each pointing was observed for approximately five hours on source. The observations were made using full stokes parameters at a central frequency of 1.51 GHz using 512 MHz bandwidth split over 8 Intermediate Frequencies (IFs) and 512 channels per IF.

A large portion of the observable bandwidth suffers from contamination by Radio Frequency Interference (RFI). The data were edited using the RFI-mitigation software SERPent (Peck & Fenech 2013), a programme developed for e-MERLIN that utilises the PARSELTONGUE scripting environment, as well as editing tasks within AIPS (Astronomical Image Processing System). In total, approximately 25-30% of the data for each pointing were removed because of RFI alone.

Observations of the amplitude calibrator 3C286 were used to set the flux density scale. J2007+404 was used as a point source calibrator to determine the passbands and relative gains of the antennas. The data were phase calibrated and were weighted according to the relative sensitivity of each e-MERLIN antenna prior to imaging. The calibration was performed using standard procedures within AIPS and parts of the e-MERLIN pipeline (Argo 2014). See Morford et al. (2016, in preparation) for further details.

The AIPS task IMAGR was used to produce a 512×512 image of each of the sources within our sample. There were no strong sources around the outside of each image frame meaning the cleaning procedure was not affected by any external sidelobes. Each of these images was subsequently primary beam corrected using the standard AIPS task PBCOR to correct for the change in sensitivity over the primary beam.

3 SINGLE MASSIVE STAR SAMPLE SELECTION

To investigate the mass-loss rates in the L-band COBRaS data, we chose to limit our initial sample selection to stars expected to have the densest winds. Starting with the recent catalogue from Wright et al. (2015), we chose only stars that are within our field-of-view and are classified as either OI-OIII or BI stars. We further limited our sample to those stars that are known to be single or if they are within a binary system, have sufficient separation from their companion that any wind-wind interaction is negligible i.e. the expected emission is purely thermal. This assumption is crucial in both deriving radio mass-loss rates and investigating wind structure as explored by Blomme et al. (2003). The presence of one (or more) companion stars will facilitate the production of non-thermal (synchrotron) emission within the colliding wind region(s) (e.g. as has been shown with Cyg OB2 #8A; Blomme et al. 2010). Any non-thermal emission will contribute to the 21cm flux and cause an over-estimate of the object's mass-loss rate.

We present in Table 1 our final target sample of nine massive stars. The sample includes two early O supergiant stars, two mid O giant stars, and five early B supergiant stars, one of which is a candidate LBV. Flux densities have been measured with the AIPS task TVSTAT. Where the source is not detected a $3\,\sigma$ limit is quoted. The noise-level at the position of the source was measured using

[1] Care must be taken when considering the ionisation state of He in the outer wind regions for it has been known to alter inferred mass-loss rates (see e.g. Lamers & Leitherer 1993).

Table 1. COBRaS L-band measured flux densities for our sample of stars. For Cyg #7, 8C and 12 the $T_{\rm eff}$, v_∞, log$g$ and $M_{\rm spec}$ values are taken from the literature where a full nLTE analysis has been conducted in their derivation. Typical errors on these stellar parameters are $\Delta T_{\rm eff} = \pm 500-1000$K. $\Delta v_\infty = \pm 50-100$kms^{-1}, $\Delta \log g = \pm 0.1 - 0.38$dex and $M_{\rm spec}$ is uncertain between 35% and 50%. For the remaining stars the parameters are adopted from standard spectral type values taken from the references listed, note that parameters taken from references 4 and 5 were derived from calibrations. Predicted $\dot{M}$ values are calculated using the prescription from Vink et al. (2001) with a revised metallicity value, Z = 0.013 following Asplund et al. (2009). We denote our derived mass-loss rate as $\dot{M}_{max}$ since we have set $f_{cl} = 1$ (see Sect. 6 for further details).

RA (J2000)	DEC (J2000)	S58	MT91	Other	Spectral Type	$T_{\rm eff}$ (K)	v_∞ km s^{-1}	log$g$	$M_{\rm spec}$ $M_\odot$	Flux Density (μJy)	$\dot{M}_{max}$ 10^{-6} $M_\odot$yr^{-1}	Predicted $\dot{M}$ 10^{-6} $M_\odot$yr^{-1}
20 32 40.88	41 14 29.3	12	304	-	B3.5Ia+	13700[1]	400[1]	1.70[1]	110[1]	1013±55	5.4±1.4	24.5
20 33 14.16	41 20 21.5	7	457	-	O3If	45800[2]	3080[3]	3.94[2]	65[2]	<72	<4.8	3.5
20 33 18.02	41 18 31.0	8C	483	-	O5III	41800[2]	2650[3]	3.74[2]	49[2]	<71	<4.1	1.9
20 33 08.78	41 13 18.1	22	417	-	O3If	42551[4]	3150[6]	3.73[4]	67[4]	<61	<4.4	4.3
20 33 14.84	41 18 41.4	8B	462	-	O6.5III	35644[4]	2545[6]	3.63[4]	34[4]	<78	<4.3	0.7
20 32 39.06	41 00 07.8	-	-	E47	B0Ia	28100[5]	1535[6]	2.99[5]	25[5]	<87	<2.9	0.8
20 33 39.14	41 19 26.1	19	601	-	B0Iab	28900[5]	1535[6]	3.13[5]	31[5]	<63	<2.2	1.1
20 33 30.81	41 15 22.7	18	556	-	B1Ib	21700[5]	1065[6]	2.67[5]	22[5]	<73	<1.8	1.6
20 33 33.97	41 19 38.4	-	573	-	B3I	16400[5]	590[6]	2.16[5]	19[5]	<58	<0.8	1.4

References: **1** Clark et al. 2012, **2** Mokiem et al. 2005, **3** Herrero et al. 2001, **4** Martins et al. 2005, **5** Searle et al. 2008, **6** Prinja et al. 1990.

a 2″ diameter circle to determine the quoted limit. Figure 1 shows sub-images of some of the sample from the COBRaS L-band data.

4 ADOPTED FUNDAMENTAL PARAMETERS

Out of our target sample of nine OB stars, only one source is detected in the COBRaS L-band observations, the candidate LBV star Cyg OB2 #12. For the remaining sources we use the observed flux density limits to calculate a smooth-wind mass-loss upper limit (listed in Table 1) by use of Equation 1, taken from Wright & Barlow (1975).

$$S_\nu = 23.2 \left(\frac{\dot{M}}{\mu v_\infty} \right)^{4/3} \frac{1}{D^2} \left(\gamma g_{ff} \nu \overline{Z^2} \right)^{2/3}, \quad (1)$$

where, S_ν is our observed radio flux in Jy measured at frequency ν in Hz; $\dot{M}$ is in $M_\odot$yr^{-1}; v_∞ is in kms^{-1}; D is the distance in kpc (see section 4.1 for further details). Whilst hydrogen is expected to be fully ionised within the winds of OB stars, the ionisation state of helium depends on the stellar T_{eff}, the radial distance, and the wind density. Models created using the model atmosphere code CMFGEN (Hillier & Miller 1998), which simultaneously fit the multi-wavelength (UV through radio) observations of Cyg OB2 #7 (e.g. Najarro et al. 2008, 2011 and F. Najarro, private communication) clearly suggest that He^+ dominates over He^{2+} even in the most favourable scenario of vanishing clumping in the outer wind. In the case of residual clumping in the radio region (see Sect. 6), recombination would be enhanced, and therefore He^+ would be even more dominant over He^{2+}. We adopt therefore that helium is singly ionised in the radio emitting region for all stars in our sample with the exception of Cyg OB2 #12 for which helium is neutral in the radio formation region (Clark et al. 2012, F. Najarro, priv. comm.). Furthermore, we assume a helium abundance of $n_{He}/n_H = 0.1$ for all stars within our sample (see Section 5.1 in regards to Cyg OB2 #7). The mean atomic weight of gas μ, is taken to be 1.27 (1.4 in the case of Cyg OB2 #12), the ratio of electron to ion density γ = 1.0, and the mean ionic charge $\overline{Z^2} = 1.0$. The gaunt factor,

$$g_{ff} \approx 9.77 \left(1 + 0.13 \log \left(T_e^{3/2} / \nu \sqrt{(\overline{Z^2})} \right) \right), \quad (2)$$

as defined by Leitherer & Robert (1991), has been calculated for each object assuming a constant relation between the stellar effective temperature and the electron temperature of the wind $T_e = 0.5T_{eff}$ (Drew 1989), where both T_{eff} and T_e are in K. While the bound-free gaunt factor is known to play a role in this calculation, its contribution is found to be negligible (at λ = 21cm) and has been subsequently disregarded (see Table IV of Waters & Lamers 1984).

In all cases, we have assumed that the radio emission is purely thermal. Additionally, we derive predicted mass-loss rates following the prescription from Vink et al. (2001), utilising the revised solar metallicity values as given in Asplund et al. (2009). For both Cyg OB2 #7 and #8C we adopt stellar and wind parameters from a full nLTE (non-Local Thermodynamic Equilibrium) analysis undertaken with the model atmosphere code FASTWIND by Mokiem et al. (2005). We note here that the adopted wind terminal velocity, v_∞ values for these two O-type stars were first derived by Herrero et al. (2001) in their study of HST STIS UV spectra. For Cyg OB2 #12 we adopt parameters derived from Clark et al. (2012), who analysed UV-radio photometric and spectroscopic datasets using CMFGEN. For the rest of our target sample, no previous nLTE analysis had been conducted and as a result we rely on calibrated stellar parameters as a function of spectral type. For the O stars, we adopt those derived in Martins et al. (2005) and for the B supergiant stars we refer to Searle et al. (2008).

4.1 Distance to Cyg OB2

The observed free-free thermal radio emission in the winds of these hot stars is inversely proportional to the square of the distance to these objects. Unfortunately, the distance to the Cyg OB2 association is still uncertain, with estimates in the literature spanning

between 0.9 kpc and 2.1 kpc. Using both spectroscopic and photometric observations to infer its distance becomes complicated since the region is known to suffer from variable extinction. Its location within the Galaxy also adds further complication since it lies at $l = 80°$ where the relation between radial velocity and distance is poorly defined (see. Dame & Thaddeus 1985; Dame et al. 2001). Massey & Thompson (1991) used spectroscopy and photometry of 63 stars in Cyg OB2 to infer a distance of 1.71 kpc to the association, a value in good agreement with previous studies. The MK optical spectra of 14 Cyg OB2 stars were used by Hanson (2003) to derive a much closer distance estimate (D ∼ 1.45 kpc). Subsequent work by Negueruela et al. (2008) supported this revised distance in fitting model isochrones to a semi-observational HR diagram of Cyg OB2. Taking a different approach, Linder et al. (2009) analysed the light curve of the eclipsing binary Cyg OB2 #5, yielding a distance of 0.90−0.95 kpc. Whilst reporting that their result is in need of confirmation, they highlight the implications this distance estimate would have upon the stars within Cyg OB2, greatly reducing both the luminosities and mass-loss rate of its massive star members. More recently, measurements of the trigonomic parallaxes and proper motions of five star-forming regions within the Cygnus X complex gave a distance of 1.40 ± 0.08 kpc to the region (Rygl et al. 2012). Furthermore Kiminki et al. (2015) measured the distance to four eclipsing binary members of Cyg OB2, obtaining a weighted average distance of 1.33 ± 0.06 kpc. This result sits slightly lower than those obtained via spectro-photometric methods, although interestingly Hanson (2003) noted that if they were to adopt the cooler T_{eff} scales of Martins et al. (2002, 2005), their distance estimate would reduce to 1.2 kpc. This was rejected by Hanson (2003) as moving the association closer would reduce the luminosity of the association's supergiants and hence also reduce their mass-loss rate, making them more discrepant than those predicted from stellar wind theory (e.g. Vink et al. 2001). Growing evidence exists that may require the revision of accepted mass-loss rates downwards (see. Puls et al. 2008) and indeed this uncertainty is the motivation behind this work. For this study, we adopt a distance to Cyg OB2 of 1.4 ± 0.1 kpc. Where mass-loss rates have been compared to those taken from the literature, we also provide values scaled to our adopted distance of 1.4 kpc.

5 MASS-LOSS RATES IN THE RADIO REGIME

5.1 Cygnus OB2 #7

This O3If star, has previously been extensively observed and modelled with nLTE codes such as FASTWIND and CMFGEN (see Herrero et al. 2000; Herrero et al. 2002; Herrero et al. 2003; Mokiem et al. 2005; Puls et al. 2006; Najarro et al. 2011; Maryeva & Zhuchkov 2012; Maryeva et al. 2013). Despite being part of previous radio surveys (Bieging et al. 1989; Setia Gunawan et al. 2003) it is yet to be detected at radio wavelengths; with a previous 3 σ flux limit of 1.0 mJy at L-band (21cm) (Setia Gunawan et al. 2003).

Interestingly, despite vastly improved sensitivities with e-MERLIN, the COBRaS L-band observations provide only an upper limit for Cyg OB2 #7. The observations reported here improve upon previous L-band (21cm) upper limit flux densities by a over a factor of ∼10, reaching a 3 σ flux density of 72 μJy. This corresponds to an upper limit on the mass-loss rate of Cyg OB2 #7 of $4.8{\times}10^{-6}$ $M_{\odot}yr^{-1}$. In comparison to the most recent values obtained via nLTE analysis of the Hα line, our result is approximately a factor of two lower (e.g. Maryeva et al. 2013). Using standard stellar parameters as a function of spectral type (see Martins et al. 2005) and the recommended mass-loss recipe from Vink et al. (2001) to calculate a predicted $\dot{M}$ for Cyg OB2 #7, provides values in good agreement with our result (see Table. 1).

The first full nLTE analysis was carried out on Cyg OB2 #7 (Herrero et al. 2000), using the model atmosphere code FASTWIND. Hα and HeIIλ4686 in combination with other optical lines were used to sample the inner-most wind regions ($R \lesssim 3\ R_{\star}$; see e.g. Prinja et al. 1996) to derive a value of $\dot{M} = 11.2{\times}10^{-6}\ M_{\odot}yr^{-1}$($8.3{\times}10^{-6}\ M_{\odot}yr^{-1}$at 1.4kpc). Furthermore, the same authors used an updated version of the FASTWIND code to account for both metal-line blocking and blanketing, that led to the derivation of similar $\dot{M}$ values (Herrero et al. 2002; Herrero et al. 2003). Mokiem et al. (2005) combined the FASTWIND code with a genetic algorithm based optimisation routine known as PIKAIA to automate the spectrum fitting process and again derived a 'smooth wind' mass-loss rate of $\sim 10{\times}10^{-6}\ M_{\odot}yr^{-1}$($7.4{\times}10^{-6}\ M_{\odot}yr^{-1}$at 1.4kpc).

Subsequent studies of Cyg OB2 #7 were carried out by Puls et al. (2006), who conducted a multi-wavelength analysis incorporating radio, infrared (IR) and Hα observations. In doing so, they probe different wind regions to put constraints on the radial stratification of the clumping factor. Their multi-wavelength approach, constrained by non-detections in VLA observations at 6 and 3.5 cm, derived an upper limit on $\dot{M}$ comparable to that found here. Note that Puls et al. (2006) assumed a Helium enrichment $Y_{He} = 0.21$, contrary to the value used here of $Y_{He} = 0.1$. Assuming the free-free thermal flux scales with frequency as $\nu^{0.6}$, the 21cm upper limit found here can be translated into a flux of 145 and 202 μJy at 6 and 3.5 cm respectively, i.e. consistent with those derived by Puls et al. (2006). The same authors fixed the outer-wind clumping factor $f_{cl} = 1$ to derive a maximum mass-loss for Cyg OB2 #7. Whilst the results found here support this notion and provide good evidence that the outer wind regions are less clumped than the inner (Hα) wind regions, we stress that the clumping factor cannot be fully constrained at the radio photosphere without explicit knowledge of the star's mass-loss rate.

Further nLTE analysis utilising the Hα line diagnostic (see Najarro et al. 2011; Maryeva & Zhuchkov 2012; Maryeva et al. 2013), all derive a 'smooth wind' $\dot{M}$ value consistent with previous nLTE analysis of the star (i.e. are around a factor of two larger than found here). In the case of Cyg OB2 #7, the discrepancy between inner-wind region (Hα) and outer-wind region (radio) mass-loss rates is clear and must be attributed to the effect of wind structure. We return to this discussion in Sect. 6.

5.2 Cygnus OB2 #8C

Cyg OB2 #8C was re-classified as an OIII by Kiminki et al. (2007) though it had previously been considered to have an OIf spectral type (Massey & Thompson 1991). This star has been observed as part of previous radio surveys (e.g. Setia Gunawan et al. 2003), though it has not been detected. These COBRaS data provide the most sensitive radio observations of Cygnus OB2 to date and give a 3 σ upper limit to the flux density of 71 μJy , corresponding to an $\dot{M}$ upper limit of $4.1{\times}10^{-6}$ $M_{\odot}yr^{-1}$at 21cm.

Line synthesis modelling of this star has previously been used

to measure a mass-loss rate from Hα profiles. Herrero et al. (2002) calculate a $\dot{M}$ of $2.3{\times}10^{-6}$ $M_{\odot}yr^{-1}$($1.7{\times}10^{-6}$ $M_{\odot}yr^{-1}$at 1.4kpc) and later a lower value of $1.7{\times}10^{-6}$ $M_{\odot}yr^{-1}$($1.3{\times}10^{-6}$ $M_{\odot}yr^{-1}$at 1.4kpc; Herrero et al. 2003). Similarly, Mokiem et al. (2005) used FASTWIND to calculate a mass-loss rate of $3.4{\times}10^{-6}$ $M_{\odot}yr^{-1}$($2.5{\times}10^{-6}$ $M_{\odot}yr^{-1}$at 1.4kpc). Puls et al. (2006) utilised a 200 μJy radio upper limit taken from Bieging et al. (1989) in their calculation. Though their analysis of Cyg OB2 #8C failed to fully constrain the mass-loss and clumping properties across all regions they quote a $\dot{M}$ upper limit of $4.3{\times}10^{-6}$ $M_{\odot}yr^{-1}$($3.2{\times}10^{-6}$ $M_{\odot}yr^{-1}$at 1.4kpc) based primarily on the radio flux density upper limit. However, they also calculate a lower Hα derived $\dot{M}$ of $3.5{\times}10^{-6}$ $M_{\odot}yr^{-1}$($2.6{\times}10^{-6}$ $M_{\odot}yr^{-1}$at 1.4kpc).

5.3 Cygnus OB2 #12

Cyg OB2 #12 is a luminosity class Ia+ star (Keenan 1971). Van Genderen (2001) later described this class as 'Blue Hypergiants' (BHG) which not only differ from 'Blue Supergiants' (BSG) by their large luminosity, but also spectroscopically with the presence of P Cygni balmer line emission. Cyg OB2 #12 has always been a particularly interesting BHG case due to its extremely high luminosity (Schulte 1958). Whilst showcasing some properties of a LBV star, it is also missing some of their typical characteristics leading to uncertainties upon its exact classification (Clark et al. 2005; Clark et al. 2012).

LBVs are massive, unstable stars found in the upper left hand region of the HR diagram. Whilst having extremely high luminosities (~10^6 $L_{\odot}$) and large mass-loss rates (up to 10^{-4} $M_{\odot}yr^{-1}$), they are found to be significantly variable both photometrically and spectroscopically (Humphreys & Davidson 1994). They have been observed to have two types of variability, the first of which is reflected in their visual magnitude and is a result of how they cool and expand (heat and contract), shifting to redder (bluer) colours. The second is a consequence of significant mass-loss episodes such as the case for η Carinae. These eruptions are far rarer, with only two known examples in our Galaxy (Clark et al. 2005).

Here, we report on the first ever resolved detection at 21cm of Cyg OB2 #12. From the COBRaS L-band observations taken between the 25th and 27th of April 2014, we observe a flux density for Cyg OB2 #12 of 1013 ± 55 μJy (see Figure. 1A). Assuming a smooth wind model (f_{cl} = 1) and that the flux received is purely thermal free-free emission, we calculate its mass-loss rate to be $\dot{M}$ = 5.4 ±1.4 × 10^{-6} $M_{\odot}yr^{-1}$. We note here our assumption that Hydrogen is still ionised in the outer wind regions despite the cool temperature of Cyg OB2 #12. Clark et al. (2012) modelled Cyg OB2 #12 using CMFGEN to infer $\dot{M}$ = 3.0 × 10^{-6} $M_{\odot}yr^{-1}$($2.1{\times}10^{-6}$ $M_{\odot}yr^{-1}$at 1.4kpc), with a clumping factor f_{cl} = 25. This value of f_{cl} was derived using a modified version of CMFGENs clumping prescription to account for the low terminal velocity of Cyg OB2 #12. Predominantly constrained using the H$\alpha - \beta$ and Brα emission components, the IR, sub-mm and radio continuum (Clark et al. 2012), this value of f_{cl} = 25 holds from R > 40 $R_{\star}$ (F. Najarro, priv. comm.) covering the entire radio emitting region. The derived $\dot{M}$ translates into a an 'unclumped' (smooth-wind) value of $15{\times}10^{-6}$ $M_{\odot}yr^{-1}$($10.7{\times}10^{-6}$ $M_{\odot}yr^{-1}$at 1.4kpc; see also Sect. 6), giving a discrepancy of a factor of ~ 2 in comparison to our value. This alone highlights the uncertainty in current $\dot{M}$ diagnostics and adds to the growing evidence for the disparity between different mass-loss diagnostics.

The uncertainty of this object and the ongoing debate upon its precise classification cannot be overlooked. The significantly lower than previously found $\dot{M}$ value derived here could instead be explained by the variability of the object. COBRaS L-band observations were also obtained on the 11th of April 2014, some 14 days prior to the core of the observations presented here. Despite the relatively small time window between observations, we searched for any variability of the flux of Cyg OB2 #12. With a sensitivity of ~ 40 μJy, the observations taken on the April 11th 2014 yielded a flux of 598 ± 61 μJy (see Figure 1B) corresponding to a 'smooth-wind' mass-loss rate of $3.6{\times}10^{-6}$ $M_{\odot}yr^{-1}$. We therefore observe a 50% increase in the mass-loss rate of Cyg OB2 #12 (or a 69% increase in the flux density) over the 14 day period. A possible constraint on the origin of this variation may be derived from considering the 'effective radius' of the radio emission, defined as the radial distance at which the free-free optical depth is 0.244 (Wright & Barlow 1975). Using the stellar parameters found in Table 1 (and $R_{\star}$ = 246 $R_{\odot}$; Clark et al. 2012), the effective radius of 21cm emission in Cyg OB2 #12 is ~ 86 $R_{\star}$. To cover this distance at a constant velocity of v_{∞} = 400 kms^{-1} would require ~ 424 days, which is much longer than the 14 days between our two observation epochs. For comparison a typical O-type star with v_{∞} = 2600 kms^{-1}, T_{eff} = 40 kK, $R_{\star}$ = 10 $R_{\odot}$ and a mass-loss rate of 4 $\times10^{-6}$ $M_{\odot}yr^{-1}$would need ~ 9 days to cover the distance of its 21cm effective radius (~ 304 $R_{\star}$). We conclude that the variation in 21cm flux of Cyg OB2 #12 cannot be due to a global mass-flux variation. We note that the April 25th observations are approximately 3× the on-source integration time than those of the April 11th and the difference between the coverage in hour angle results in a different primary beam shape and size between the two observation epochs. The object is resolved in both epochs, with a deconvolved angular size of 285 milliarcseconds (mas) and 453 mas for the 11th and 25th of April observations respectively. Short term variations in the flux of Cyg OB2 #12 have also been found in previous radio observations. Bieging et al. (1989) found a 70% variation at 6 cm in the flux of Cyg OB2 #12, whilst Scuderi et al. (1998) observed a 50% variation in its radio flux over the timescale of a month at 2, 3.6 and 6 cm. Furthermore, its X-ray flux has also been found to vary on the 10% level over timescales of up to a week (Rauw 2011).

Cyg OB2 #12 has been extensively studied. Clark et al. (2012) report that the combination of its extremely high luminosity and low temperature imply its position on the HR diagram cannot be matched to any theoretical isochrone applied to its host association Cyg OB2. Cazorla et al. (2014) looked at XMM-Newton and Swift X-ray observations of Cyg #12 and find a marked decrease in X-ray flux in recent years (40% from 2004 to 2011), compatible either with a wind-wind collision in a wide binary or the aftermath of a recent eruption. No evidence for a companion star had previously been found until Caballero-Nieves et al. (2014) detected a close companion separated by an angular distance of 63.6 mas. Their finding has since been confirmed by Maryeva et al. (2016), who further resolved a very faint third counterpart. Note however that Caballero-Nieves et al. (2014) report that their detected secondary is too faint to substantially decrease the luminosity of Cyg OB2 #12, and hence alter the conclusions drawn from Clark et al. (2012). Depending on the nature of Cyg OB2 #12's companions, this potentially undermines the assumption that the radio flux detected in


(A) CYG OB2 #12 (April 25th 2014)
(B) CYG OB2 #12 (April 11th 2014)
(C) CYG OB2 #7 (April 25th 2014)
(D) CYG OB2 #8C (April 25th 2015)
Declination (J2000)
Right ascension (J2000)

Figure 1. Images of three of the nine target sample stars from the COBRaS 21cm Legacy observations, images A, C and D are all from observations taken between the 25th and 27th of April: (A) Cyg OB2 #12 the first ever resolved image at 21cm, 1σ rms = 24 μJy/beam; (B) a second image of Cyg OB2 #12 from observations taken on April 11th 2014, 1σ rms = 40 μJy/beam; (C) a blank field image of Cyg OB2 #7, image 1σ rms = 24 μJy/beam; (D) a blank field image of Cyg OB2 #8C, image 1σ rms = 24 μJy/beam. Upper horizontal bar displays the colour scale of each pixel in units of μJy/beam, all contour levels are -1, 1, 1.4, 2, 2.8, 4, 5.7, 8, 11.3, 16 $\times$ 3σ image rms.

the COBRaS observations presented here is completely thermal in origin. However, it is important to note that any non-thermal emission as a result of a colliding wind region would only further contribute to the 21cm flux received here, implying a smaller contribution from thermal free-free emission. Hence the presence of non-thermal emission would only seek to lower the mass-loss rate derived here, in contradiction to previous $\dot{M}$ estimates (e.g. Clark et al. 2012).

5.4 The remaining sample selection

For the majority of the stars in our sample selection (i.e. those excluding Cyg OB2 #7, Cyg OB2 #8C and Cyg OB2 #12) there is currently no individual nLTE modelling in the literature. For these stars we can only compare in Table 1 the mass-loss rate limits provided by this study with those predicted (as a function of spectral type), by the prescription from Vink et al. (2001). In general, there is a broad agreement between those calculated from the COBRaS L-band upper limits and those predicted for both the O and B stars within the sample. Furthermore, Puls et al. (2006) derived

mass-loss rates consistent with the those calculated from the Vink et al. (2001) recipe (despite using an 'older' metallicity value of Z = 0.019 in the $\dot{M}$ prescription) yet other diagnostics (across multiple wavebands) derive values 2-3 (with translates to ∼1.5-2 with Z = 0.013) times lower than these theoretical values (e.g. see Najarro et al. 2011: IR lines; Cohen et al. 2014: X-ray line emission; Sundqvist et al. 2011; Šurlan et al. 2013; Sundqvist et al. 2014: UV-lines including velocity porosity and optical lines). If we therefore assume that the theoretical prescription calculated here (with Z = 0.013) consistently gives $\dot{M}$ values a factor of 1.5-2 times too large, we could postulate a value of f_{cl} = 4 in the outer wind regions in order to pull our radio inferred mass-loss rates down by a factor of ∼2 to coincide with the above discrepancy found between the prescription from Vink et al. (2001) and other diagnostics.

The $\dot{M}$ upper limits of the two luminous O supergiant stars are broadly in agreement with the alternative $\dot{M}$ predictions given by Muijres et al. (2012). Furthermore, the $\dot{M}$ upper limits of the two B supergiant stars Cyg OB2 #12 and MT573 are found to lie underneath the predictions inferred from Vink et al. (2001) by factors of approximately 5 and 2 respectively. These are the only two stars in our sample for which this is the case and interestingly the only objects in our sample who's T_{eff} lies below the observed bi-stability jump at 20000 K (e.g. Evans et al. 2004; Crowther et al. 2006; Markova & Puls 2008; see also Petrov et al. 2016 for the corresponding theoretical findings). Due to the lack of radio observations of B supergiant stars, this result could provide crucial information to the on-going debate regarding the increase in $\dot{M}$ as a star crosses this bi-stability jump (e.g. see Vink et al. 1999; Markova & Puls 2008; Petrov et al. 2016). We feel it important to note however, the large uncertainty upon $\dot{M}$ predictions due to the dependence on the stellar mass which in turn may be uncertain up to 50% (Martins et al. 2005).

5.5 A11

A11 (or MT267), is an O-type star within our field-of-view. Listed in the catalogue of Wright et al. (2015) as a single star of spectral type O7.5III, it initially met our target selection criteria. Kobulnicky et al. (2012) however, show A11 to be a binary system with an O7.5III-I primary and a period of 15.511±0.056 days. As such, this star was rejected by our selection criteria and subsequently not included in Table 1. Furthermore, the variable Hα emission and observed x-ray variability suggests this to be an interacting binary. We detect A11 in the COBRaS L-band data with a flux density of 161 ± 27 μJy. This result in comparison to the sample star flux densities in Table. 1, supports the notion that A11 is an interacting binary with non-thermal emission from a wind-wind collision region.

6 DISCUSSION

We have used e-MERLIN observations of Cyg OB2 from the ongoing COBRaS project to demonstrate that the 21 cm flux densities of a sample of luminous, early O-type stars are below ∼ 70 μJy. Under the assumption that the emission is entirely thermal in origin, and the stellar wind region is unclumped, we place upper limits of $\sim 4.4 - 4.8 \times 10^{-6}$ $M_\odot$ yr $^{-1}$ on the mass-loss rates of O3 I stars; i.e. the hottest and most luminous stars in our sample. The mass-loss rates of early B supergiants (B0 to B1) are constrained to less than $\sim 1.8 - 2.9 \times 10^{-6}$ $M_\odot$ yr $^{-1}$. Adopting spectroscopic masses, our upper limits are broadly consistent with mass-loss rates derived from the semi-empirical prescriptions of Vink et al. (2000, 2001), with the exception of the LBV candidate Cyg OB2 #12. For luminous O stars the Vink et al. 2001 values are in turn consistent with the refined predictions of Muijres et al. 2012, who solve the wind dynamics numerically.

The O3 to O5 stars in our sample have an effective photospheric radius of more than 150 $R_\star$ at 21 cm and our observations thus sample the most outer regions of the stellar winds. Assumptions that the wind is unclumped or very weakly clumped in this region is essentially untested observationally. Given that free-free emission depends on density squared, our fluxes either correspond to a smooth wind mass-loss rate, or a lower mass-loss rate $\times \sqrt{f_{cl}}$, where f_{cl} is the clumping factor. Comparing to the primarily recombination-formed line-synthesis analyses (i.e. Hα, HeII) of Cyg OB2 #7, which samples the inner-most wind regions (below ∼ 3 $R_\star$), Herrero et al. (2002), Mokiem et al. (2005), Repolust et al. (2005), Maryeva et al. (2013) all derive a 'smooth wind' mass-loss rate of $\sim 8.0 - 10 \times 10^{-6}$ $M_\odot$ yr $^{-1}$. These consistently high mass-loss rates can only be reconciled with our 21 cm *upper limit* of 4.8 $\times 10^{-6}$ $M_\odot$yr^{-1}if the inner wind Hα region (close to the stellar surface) is substantially more clumped than the radio free-free formation region sampled in our study. This result is in agreement with the clumped wind models discussed by Puls et al. (2006), and with the notion that there is a radial stratification of the clumping factor in the stellar winds of OB stars. However, the derived clumping factor (and therefore mass-loss rate) is dependent on the assumption adopted for the degree of clumping in the radio formation region.

Regarding the issue of structure in the outermost wind regions, the growth of the intrinsic line-deshadowing instability (LDI) has been numerically modelled by e.g. Owocki et al. (1988); Feldmeier (1995); Dessart & Owocki (2005). The simulations show that the LDI leads to high-speed rarefactions that provide a basis for our interpretation of wind clumping. In their 1-d time-dependent hydrodynamical study of stochastic structure, Runacres & Owocki (2002) model the evolution of clumped structure far from the stellar surface. Their models predict a rise in the clumping factor from the inner wind to ∼ 50 $R_\star$, and a subsequent decrease in the clumping factor to a residual value beyond ∼ 100 $R_\star$. Depending on the details, simulations predict that the stellar winds remain clumped deep into the radio formation region, with clumping factors between 2.5 to 6. As noted above, the single epoch radio continuum observations do not provide any direct information as to whether or not the OB stars winds are clumped beyond ∼ 100 $R_\star$.

The substantial 6 cm (C-band) e-MERLIN COBRaS Legacy observations, scheduled from October 2016 onward, will provide flux densities down to a 3σ limit of ∼ 10 μJy. These data will ultimately lead to the tightest constraints on the outer wind mass-loss rates of OB stars in Cyg OB2 for a wide range of effective temperature, luminosity and wind density.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

e-MERLIN is a national facility operated by The University of Manchester on behalf of the Science and Technology Facilities Council (STFC). PARSELTONGUE was developed in the context of the ALBUS project, which has benefited from research funding from the European Community's sixth Framework Programme under

RadioNet R113CT 2003 5058187. J. Morford and D. Fenech wish to acknowledge funding from an STFC studentship and STFC consolidated grant (ST/M001334/1) respectively. We thank Paco Najarro and Ian Stevens for useful discussions. We are also grateful to the referee Jo Puls for his input and suggestions on the original manuscript.

REFERENCES

Argo M., 2014, Astrophys. Source Code Libr., 1, 07017
Asplund M., Grevesse N., Sauval A. J., Scott P., 2009, ARA&A, 47, 481
Bieging J., Abbott D. C., Churchwell E., 1989, ApJ, 340, 518
Blomme R., De Becker M., Volpi D., Rauw G., 2010, A&A, 519, A111
Blomme R., Prinja R., Runacres M., Colley S., 2002, A&A, 382, 921
Blomme R., Van de Steene G. C., Prinja R. K., Runacres M. C., Clark J. S., 2003, A&A, 408, 715
Caballero-Nieves S. M., Nelan E. P., Gies D. R., Wallace D. J., DeGioia-Eastwood K., Herrero A., Jao W.-C., Mason B. D., Massey P., Moffat A. F., et al., 2014, AJ, 147, 40
Cazorla C., Nazé Y., Rauw G., 2014, A&A, 561, A92
Clark J., Larionov V., Arkharov A., 2005, A&A, 435, 239
Clark J. S., Najarro F., Negueruela I., Ritchie B. W., Urbaneja M. A., Howarth I. D., 2012, A&A, 541, 145
Cohen D. H., Wollman E. E., Leutenegger M. A., Sundqvist J. O., Fullerton A. W., Zsargó J., Owocki S. P., 2014, MNRAS, 439, 908
Colombo J. A., Flaccomio E., Micela G., Sciortino S., Damiani F., 2007, A&A, 464, 211
Comerón F., Pasquali A., Rodighiero G., Stanishev V., De Filippis E., Martí B. L., Ortiz M. G., Stankov A., Gredel R., 2002, A&A, 389, 874
Crowther P. A., Lennon D. J., Walborn N. R., 2006, A&A, 446, 279
Dame T., Thaddeus P., 1985, ApJ, 297, 751
Dame T. M., Hartmann D., Thaddeus P., 2001, ApJ, 547, 792
Dessart L., Owocki S., 2005, A&A, 437, 657
Drew J., 1989, The Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series, 71, 267
Drew J., 1990, ApJ, 357, 573
Evans C. J., Lennon D. J., Walborn N. R., Trundle C., Rix S. A., 2004, PASP, 116, 909
Feldmeier A., 1995, A&A, 299, 523
Hanson M., 2003, ApJ, 597, 957
Herrero A., Najarro F., Puls J., 2003, in Stellar Atmosphere Modeling Vol. 288 of ASP Conf. Ser., Spectroscopic analysis of cyg ob2 supergiants using unified model atmospheres. p. 243
Herrero A., Puls J., Corral L., Kudritzki R., Villamariz M., 2001, A&A, 366, 623
Herrero A., Puls J., Najarro F., 2002, A&A, 396, 949
Herrero A., Puls J., Villamariz M. R., 2000, A&A, 354, 193
Hillier D. J., Miller D. L., 1998, ApJ, 496, 407
Humphreys R. M., Davidson K., 1994, PASP, pp 1025–1051
Keenan P. C., 1971, Contr. from the Kitt Peak Natl. Obser., 554, 35
Kiminki D. C., Kobulnicky H. A., Alexander M. J., Lundquist M. J., et al., 2015, ApJ, 811, 85
Kiminki D. C., Kobulnicky H. A., Kinemuchi K., Irwin J. S., Fryer C. L., Berrington R., Uzpen B., Monson A. J., Pierce M. J., Woosley S., 2007, ApJ, 664, 1102
Knödlseder J., 2000, A&A, 360, 539
Knödlseder J., Valsesia M., Allain M., Boggs S., Diehl R., Jean P., Kretschmer K., Roques J.-P., Schönfelder V., Vedrenne G., et al., 2004, in 5th INTEGRAL Workshop on the INTEGRAL Universe Vol. 552, Spi/integral observation of 1809 kev gamma-ray line emission from the cygnus x region. p. 33
Kobulnicky H. A., Smullen R. A., Kiminki D. C., Runnoe J. C., Wood E. S., Long G., Alexander M. J., Lundquist M. J., Vargas-Alvarez C., 2012, ApJ, 756, 50
Lamers H. J., Leitherer C., 1993, The Astrophysical Journal, 412, 771
Leitherer C., Robert C., 1991, ApJ, 377, 629
Linder N., Rauw G., Manfroid J., Damerdji Y., De Becker M., Eenens P., Royer P., Vreux J.-M., 2009, A&A, 495, 231
Markova N., Puls J., 2008, A&A, 478, 823
Martins F., Schaerer D., Hillier D. J., 2002, A&A, 382, 999
Martins F., Schaerer D., Hillier D. J., 2005, A&A, 436, 17
Maryeva O., Klochkova V., Chentsov E., 2013, in Massive Stars: From alpha to Omega Modeling of atmospheres of the brightest stars belonging to the cyg ob2 association. p. 84
Maryeva O., Zhuchkov R. Y., 2012, Astrophys., 55, 371
Maryeva O. V., Chentsov E. L., Goranskij V. P., Dyachenko V. V., Karpov S. V., Malogolovets E. V., Rastegaev D. A., 2016, MNRAS, 458, 491
Massey P., Thompson A., 1991, AJ, 101, 1408
Mokiem M. R., de Koter A., Puls J., Herrero A., Najarro F., Villamariz M. R., 2005, A&A, 441, 23
Muijres Muijres L. E., Vink J. S., de Koter A., Müller P. E., Langer N., 2012, A&A, 537, 13
Muijres L., de Koter A., Vink J., Krtička J., Kubát J., Langer N., 2011, A&A, 526, A32
Najarro F., Hanson M., Puls J., 2011, A&A, 535, A32
Najarro F., Puls J., Herrero A., Hanson M., Martin-Pintado J., Hillier D., 2008, in Clumping in Hot-Star Winds Vol. 1, Tracking the clumping in ob stars from uv to radio. p. 43
Negueruela I., Marco A., Herrero A., Clark J., 2008, A&A, 487, 575
Owocki S. P., Castor J. I., Rybicki G. B., 1988, ApJ, 335, 914
Peck L. W., Fenech D. M., 2013, Astron. & Comput., 2, 54
Petrov B., Vink J. S., Gräfener G., 2016, MNRAS, 458, 1999
Prinja R., Massa D., 2010, A&A, 521, L55
Prinja R. K., Barlow M., Howarth I. D., 1990, ApJ, 361, 607
Prinja R. K., Fullerton A. W., Crowther P. A., 1996, A&A, 311, 264
Puls J., Markova N., Scuderi S., Stanghellini C., Taranova O. G., Burnley A. W., Howarth I. D., 2006, A&A, 454, 625
Puls J., Vink J. S., Najarro F., 2008, A&ARv, 16, 209
Rauw G., 2011, A&A, 536, A31
Rauw G., Nazé Y., Wright N., Drake J., Guarcello M., Prinja R., Peck L., Colombo J. A., Herrero A., Kobulnicky H., et al., 2015, ApJS, 221, 1
Repolust T., Puls J., Hanson M., Kudritzki R.-P., Mokiem M., 2005, A&A, 440, 261
Rygl K., Brunthaler A., Sanna A., Menten K., Reid M., Van Langevelde H., Honma M., Torstensson K., Fujisawa K., 2012, A&A, 539, A79

Schulte D., 1958, ApJ, 128, 41
Scuderi S., Panagia N., Stanghellini C., Trigilio C., Umana G., 1998, A&A, 332, 251
Searle S. C., Prinja R. K., Massa D., Ryans R., 2008, A&A, 481, 777
Setia Gunawan D. Y., de Bruyn A. G., van der Hucht K. A., Williams P. M., 2003, ApJS, 149, 123
Sundqvist J., Puls J., Owocki S., 2014, A&A, 568, A59
Sundqvist J. O., Puls J., Feldmeier A., Owocki S. P., 2011, A&A, 528, A64
Šurlan B., Hamann W.-R., Aret A., Kubát J., Oskinova L., Torres A., 2013, A&A, 559, A130
Trapero J., Alfaro E., De Miguel D., 1998, Ap&SS, 263, 197
Van Genderen A., 2001, A&A, 366, 508
Vink J. S., de Koter A., Lamers H. J., 1999, A&A, 350, 181
Vink J. S., de Koter A., Lamers H. J., 2000, A&A, 362, 395
Vink J. S., de Koter A., Lamers H. J., 2001, A&A, 369, 15
Waters L., Lamers H., 1984, A&AS, 57, 327
Wright A. E., Barlow M. J., 1975, MNRAS, 170, 41
Wright N. J., Drake J. J., Drew J. E., Vink J. S., 2010, ApJ, 713, 871
Wright N. J., Drew J. E., Mohr-Smith M., 2015, MNRAS, 449, 741
Wright N. J., Parker R. J., Goodwin S. P., Drake J. J., 2014, MNRAS, 438, 639